

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/622,564	07/18/2003	Dennis Glen Hodgkinson	SWA4338P0180US	4820		
32116 759	90 09/07/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			PRINCE,	PRINCE, FRED G		
500 W. MADISON STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661			1724			
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/200:	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/622,564	HODGKINSON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Fred Prince	1724

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Prince	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 	corresponding number of finally rej		the issues for
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : Claims 1-15 under 35 USC 112,	I st Paragraph.	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		Fred Prince Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724	

8/29/05



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections under 35 USC 102(b) and 35 USC 103(a) made by the examiner in the Office Action mailed August 30, 2004 still apply to claims 1-15 and therefore render claims 1-15 unpatentable. Applicant asserts that the instant invention does not include means for regulating pressure and therefore operates at atmospheric pressure. The examiner disagrees as 1) applicant makes no explicit disclosure as to the pressure that the process is carried out and therefore the record does not show how applicant is able to carry out the process such that the pressure is exactly 14.7 psia (atmospheric pressure), and 2) blowers and gas collectors indeed are known in the art to be capable of regulating pressure of a reactor depending on the manner and/or frequency in which they are operated. As applicant does not disclose the manner in which the blower and/or gas collector is operated to establish and maintain a pressure of 14.7 psia, the argument is not persuasive. The examiner agrees that Ainsworth et al. appears to require pressure above atmospheric pressure for proper operation. However, as applicant does not claim and, in the examiner's opinion, cannot claim a pressure of 14.7 psia (atmospheric pressure) based on applicant's orginal disclosure, applicant's claims are not patentable over the prior art of record.